

## Nuclearity of certain vector-valued sequence spaces

M. A. Ould Sidaty

École Normale Supérieure de Nouakchott

B.P. 990, Nouakchott, Mauritania

### Abstract

In this note, we deal with the space of  $\Lambda$ -summable sequences from a locally convex space  $E$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a perfect sequence space. We make use of a result of M. Florencio and Pedro J. Paúl in [4] to give a characterization of the nuclearity of  $\Lambda(E)$  in terms of that of  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  and the AK property.

**2000 MS Classification:** 46A17, 46B35, 46A45.

**Key Words:** sequence spaces, locally convex sequence spaces, AK-spaces, nuclearity, summability.

### 1 Introduction

A. Pietsch [10] in connection with the nuclearity of a locally convex space  $E$  introduced, for the first time, the spaces  $\ell_p[E]$  and  $\ell_p\{E\}$  respectively of weakly  $\ell_p$ -summable and absolutely  $\ell_p$ -summable sequences in  $E$ . This allowed him also to introduce and study the absolutely  $p$ -summing operators. Later, in case  $E$  is a normed space, J. S. Cohen [2] introduced the space  $\ell_p\langle E \rangle$  of strongly  $p$ -summable sequences. He used this space together with the spaces  $\ell_p[E]$  and  $\ell_p\{E\}$  to define the strongly and the nuclear  $p$ -summing operators. The definition of  $\ell_p\langle E \rangle$  was generalized to an arbitrary locally convex space  $E$  by H. Apiola [1] in order to get new conditions for nuclearity of  $E$ . H. Apiola studied the duality relations between the three spaces, namely  $\ell_p[E]$ ,  $\ell_p\{E\}$  and  $\ell_p\langle E \rangle$ . He also gave a characterization of nuclearity of  $E$  using these spaces. In [10], A. Pietsch introduced and studied also the space  $\Lambda(E)$  of  $\Lambda$ -summable sequences in  $E$ ,  $\Lambda$  being a perfect sequence space in the sense of Köthe endowed with its normal topology. Later M. Florencio and P. J. Paúl [3] considered the general case where  $\Lambda$  is no longer equipped with the normal topology, but with a general polar one. They obtained results on  $\Lambda(E)$  such as the characterization of the AK property and then the relationship with the completion  $\Lambda\tilde{\otimes}_\epsilon E$  of the injective tensor product  $\Lambda \otimes_\epsilon E$ . In [7] and [8], L. Oubbi and M. A. Ould Sidaty

gave a definition of strongly  $\Lambda$ -summable sequences. They then reconsidered the space  $\Lambda(E)$  and obtained some of its properties. In [7], they mainly describe the continuous dual space of  $\Lambda(E)$  in terms of strongly  $\Lambda^*$ -summable sequences in  $E'$ ;  $\Lambda^*$  being the  $\alpha$ -dual of  $\Lambda$  and  $E'$  the dual of  $E$ . While in [8], they gave a characterization of the reflexivity of  $\Lambda(E)$  in terms of that of  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  and the AK property, extending so the result stated in [9] for the normed case. In this note, we are concerned with the nuclearity of the locally convex space  $\Lambda(E)$ .

In section 1, we endow the space  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle$  of all strongly  $\Lambda$ -summable sequences in  $E$  with a naturel topology in the spirit of [1] for  $\ell_p\langle E \rangle$ . We also show that the injections  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle \subset \Lambda\{E\} \subset \Lambda(E) \subset \Lambda[E]$  are continuous. The section 2 is devoted to the nuclearity of  $\Lambda(E)$ . We show that if  $E$  is nuclear then all these spaces coincide, and that  $\Lambda(E)_r = \Lambda(E)$ , where  $\Lambda(E)_r$  is the subspace of  $\Lambda(E)$  consisting of the sequences which are the limit of their finite sections. Using a result of [3], we establish the theorem 3.3.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $\Lambda$  will be a perfect sequence space and  $E$  a sequentially complete Hausdorff locally convex space. The Köthe dual space of  $\Lambda$  will be denoted by  $\Lambda^*$ , while  $E'$  will stand for the topological dual of  $E$ . The collection of all absolutely convex,  $\sigma(E', E)$ -closed and equicontinuous subsets of  $E'$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}$ , while  $\mathcal{S}$  will denote a collection of closed, absolutely convex, normal and  $\sigma(\Lambda^*, \Lambda)$ -bounded subsets of  $\Lambda^*$  such that  $\Lambda^*$  is the union of the members of  $\mathcal{S}$  and the latter is stable by homothety. We will then consider on  $\Lambda$  the polar topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$  associated with the collection  $\mathcal{S}$ . This topology is generated by the seminorms

$$P_S(\alpha) := \sup\left\{\sum_n |\alpha_n \beta_n|, \beta = (\beta_n)_n \in S\right\}, S \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For an absolutely convex bounded subset  $A$  of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $F$ , let us denote by  $F_A$  the subspace of  $F$  generated by  $A$ . When no topology is specified on  $F_A$ , it will be endowed with the gauge  $\|\cdot\|_A$  of  $A$  as a norm. We will then consider without any further mention the spaces  $E_B$ ,  $E'_M$ ,  $\Lambda_R$  and  $\Lambda^*_S$ , where  $B$  is a bounded subset of  $E$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $R$  is a bounded absolutely convex subset of  $\Lambda$ . For every  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , consider on  $E$  the seminorm  $P_M$  defined by

$$P_M(x) = \sup\{|a(x)|, a \in M\}.$$

A sequence  $(x_n)_n \subset E$  is said to be  $\Lambda$ -summable (absolutely  $\Lambda$ -summable) if the series  $\sum \alpha_n x_n$  converges (absolutely) in  $E$  for all  $(\alpha_n)_n$  in  $\Lambda^*$ . It is weakly  $\Lambda$ -summable if  $(a(x)_n)_n \in \Lambda$ , for all  $a \in E'$ . The space of all  $\Lambda$ -summable (absolutely  $\Lambda$ -summable) sequences from  $E$  will be denoted by  $\Lambda(E)$  ( $\Lambda\{E\}$ ), while that of the weakly  $\Lambda$ -summable

ones will be designated by  $\Lambda[E]$ . Similarly,  $\Lambda_S^*[E'_M]$  will stand for the weakly  $\Lambda_S^*$ -summable sequences from  $E'_M$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ . Following [7], we will then say that the sequence  $(x_n)_n$  is strongly  $\Lambda$ -summable if for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , the series  $\sum a_n(x_n)$  converges for all  $(a_n)_n \in \Lambda^*[E'_M]$ . The space of all such sequences will be denoted by  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle$ .

Following [3],  $\Lambda(E)$  will be equipped with the topology  $\epsilon_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{S}}$  generated by the family  $(\epsilon_{S,M})_{S \in \mathcal{S}, M \in \mathcal{M}}$  of seminorms, where

$$\epsilon_{S,M}(x) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n a(x_n)|, a \in M, \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S \right\}, \quad \forall x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda(E).$$

These seminorms turn out to be defined also on  $\Lambda[E]$  so that  $\Lambda(E)$  is a closed topological subspace of  $\Lambda[E]$ . Both spaces will henceforth be equipped with this topology. Notice that, if  $E$  and  $(\Lambda, \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$  happen to be metrizable, then so is also  $\Lambda[E]$ . Hence, if  $E$  and  $(\Lambda, \tau_{\mathcal{S}})$  are Fréchet spaces, then so are also  $\Lambda[E]$ ,  $\Lambda(E)$  and their closed subspaces  $\Lambda[E]_r$  and  $\Lambda(E)_r$ .

We refer the reader to Section 30 of [6] and Chapter 2 of [13] for details concerning Köthe theory of sequence spaces and to [5] for the terminology and notations concerning the general theory of locally convex spaces.

In order to define a locally convex topology on  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle$ , we need the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *For all  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\sigma_{S,M}$  defined by*

$$\sigma_{S,M}(x) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(x_n)|, a = (a_n)_n \in \Lambda_S^*[E'_M], \varepsilon_{S^\circ, M^\circ}(a) \leq 1 \right\},$$

*for  $x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda\langle E \rangle$ , is a seminorm on  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle$ .*

**Proof:** It is enough to show that, for all  $x \in \Lambda\langle E \rangle$ ,  $\sigma_{S,M}(x)$  is finite. Define the linear mapping  $T_x$  from  $\Lambda_S^*[E'_M]$  to  $\ell_1$  by  $T_x((a_n)_n) = (a_n(x_n))_n$ . Suppose that  $(f^i)_i \subset \Lambda_S^*[E'_M]$  is a sequence which converges to  $f := (f_n)_n$  and  $(T_x(f^i))_i$  converges in  $\ell_1$  to  $(\alpha_n)_n$ .

By the continuity of the projections,  $(f_n^i)_i$  converges to  $f_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and then  $(f_n^i(x_n))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $f_n(x_n)$  as well. Indeed, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if

$$j_n : E'_M \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad x' \longrightarrow x'(x_n),$$

then  $|j_n(x')| \leq P_M(x_n) \|x'\|_M$ , whereby  $j_n$  is continuous on  $E'_M$ . It follows that  $(f_n(x_n))_n = (\alpha_n)_n$ . This shows that the graph of  $T_x$  is closed. So,  $T_x$  is continuous and bounded on the unit ball of  $\Lambda_S^*[E'_M]$  endowed with the norm  $\varepsilon_{S^\circ, M^\circ}$ . So,  $\sigma_{S,M}(x)$  is finite.  $\square$ .

In the sequel  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle$  will be equipped with the locally convex topology generated by the seminorms  $(\sigma_{S,M})_{S \in \mathcal{S}, M \in \mathcal{M}}$ , while (See e.g. [12]) the topology of  $\Lambda\{E\}$  will be defined by the seminorms  $\pi_{S,M}$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , where

$$\pi_{S,M}(x) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_M(\alpha_n x_n), \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S \right\}, \quad \forall x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda\{E\}.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *The spaces  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  are closed subspaces of  $\Lambda[E]$  and  $\Lambda(E)$ .*

**Proof:** 1. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The mapping  $I : E \longrightarrow \Lambda[E], t \longrightarrow te_k$ , where  $t$  is at  $k^{\text{th}}$  place. It is clear that  $I$  is linear and injective. Let  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\varepsilon_{S,M}(te_k) = P_S(e_k)P_M(t)$ ,  $I$  is continuous. In other hand, if we choose  $S$  with  $e_k \in S$  we get  $P_M(t) = \varepsilon_{S,M}(te_k)$ . So  $I$  is an isomorphism from  $E$  to the subspace  $I(E) = \{te_k, t \in E\}$  of  $\Lambda(E)$ .

Let us prove that this subspace is closed in  $\Lambda[E]$ . Let  $(t_i e_k)_i$  is a net in  $I(E)$  which converges in  $\Lambda[E]$  to  $x = (x_n)_n$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq k$ , the net  $(I_n(t_i e_k))_i$  converges to  $x_n$ , so  $x_n = 0$  and  $x = x_k e_k \in I(E)$ .

2. Now, fix  $x_0 \in E, x_0 \neq 0$  and consider the mapping

$$J : \Lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda[E], \alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \longrightarrow \alpha x_0 = (\alpha_n x_0)_n.$$

Then  $\varepsilon_{S,M}((\alpha_n x_0)_n) = P_S(\alpha)P_M(x_0)$ , which proves the continuity of  $J$ . Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $P_M(x_0) \neq 0$ , then  $P_S(\alpha) = \frac{1}{P_M(x_0)}\varepsilon_{S,M}((\alpha_n x_0)_n)$ . So,  $J$  is un isomorphism from  $\Lambda$  to  $J(\Lambda)$ . To prove that it is closed, let  $(\alpha^i x_0)_i = ((\alpha_n^i x_0)_n)_i$  is a net in  $J(\Lambda)$  which converge in  $\Lambda[E]$  to  $x = (x_n)_n$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the net  $(\alpha_n^i x_0)_i$  converges to  $x_n$ , by the continuity of the projections. There exists  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{K}$ , such that  $(\alpha_n^i x_0)_i$  converges to  $\alpha_n x_0$ . Then,  $x_n = \alpha_n x_0$ . Let  $a \in E'$  such that  $a(x_0) = 1$ , then  $(\alpha_n)_n = (a(x_n))_n \in \Lambda$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{B} \subset \Lambda(E)$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded in  $\Lambda(E)$ .
2. For all  $M \in \mathcal{M}, A_M = \{(a(x_n))_n, (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{B}, a \in M\}$  is bounded in  $\Lambda$ .
3. For all  $S \in \mathcal{S}, A_S = \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x_n, (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{B}, (\alpha_n)_n \in S\}$  is bounded in  $E$ .

**Proof:** The result follows from the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{S,M}(x) &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n a(x_n)|, a \in M, \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S \right\} \\ &= \sup \{P_S((a(x_n))_n), a \in M\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ P_M\left(\sum_n \alpha_n a(x_n)\right), \alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in S \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

which hold for all  $S \in \mathcal{S}, M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Every sequence in  $E$  which is strongly  $\Lambda$ -summable in  $E$  is absolutely  $\Lambda$ -summable. Moreover, the inclusion  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle \subset \Lambda\{E\}$  is continuous.*

**Proof:** Let  $x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda\langle E \rangle, M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in \Lambda^*$ . Let us prove that the series  $\sum \alpha_n P_M(x_n)$  is absolutely convergent.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $P_M(\alpha_n x_n) = \sup \{|a(\alpha_n x_n)|, a \in M\}$ , there exists  $a_n \in M$

such that  $P_M(\alpha_n x_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} + |\alpha_n(\alpha_n x_n)|$ . So,  $(\alpha_n a_n)_n \in \Lambda^*[E'_M]$ . Indeed, if  $f \in (E'_M)'$ , we get

$$|\alpha_n f(a_n)| \leq |\alpha_n| |f(a_n)| \leq |\alpha_n| \|f\| \|a_n\|_M \leq \|f\| |\alpha_n|$$

By the normality of  $\Lambda^*$ ,  $(\|f\| |\alpha_n|)_n \in \Lambda^*$  and

$$(f(\alpha_n a_n))_n = (\alpha_n f(a_n))_n \in \Lambda^*.$$

The series  $\sum \alpha_n P_M(x_n)$  is absolutely convergent since  $x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda\langle E \rangle$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^k |\alpha_n| P_M(x_n) \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n f(a_n)|, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

This last inequality shows that for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$ ,  $x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda\langle E \rangle$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n P_M(x_n)| \leq \sigma_{S,M}(x).$$

So, the inclusion of  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle$  in  $\Lambda\{E\}$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Every sequence in  $E$  which is absolutely  $\Lambda$ -summable in  $E$  is  $\Lambda$ -summable. Moreover, the inclusion  $\Lambda\{E\} \subset \Lambda(E)$  is continuous.*

**Proof:** Let  $x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda\{E\}$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in \Lambda^*$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since the series  $\sum \alpha_n P_M(x_n)$  is absolutely convergent, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$p \geq q \geq N \Rightarrow \sum_{n=q+1}^p |\alpha_n| P_M(x_n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

So,

$$P_M\left(\sum_{n=q+1}^p \alpha_n x_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=q+1}^p |\alpha_n| P_M(x_n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since  $E$  is sequentially complete, the series  $\sum \alpha_n x_n$  is convergent. Moreover, for all  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{S,M}((x_n)_n) \leq \pi_{S,M}((x_n)_n)$ , which gives the continuity.  $\square$

### 3 Nuclearity of $\Lambda(E)$

The following lemma will be needed to prove Theorem 3.2:

**Lemma 3.1.** *For every  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $(a_n)_n \in \Lambda^*[E'_M]$ , the set  $S = \{(a_n(t))_n, t \in M^\circ\}$  is bounded in  $\Lambda$ .*

**Proof:** For  $(\alpha_n)_n \in \Lambda$ , define the linear mapping  $\varphi : (E'_M)' \rightarrow \ell_1$  by  $\varphi(f) = (\alpha_n f(a_n))_n$ . It is not hard to show that the graph of  $\varphi$  is closed and then  $\varphi$  is continuous. So,  $\sum_n |\alpha_n f(a_n)| \leq \|\varphi\| \|f\|$ , for all  $f \in (E'_M)'$ . If  $t \in M^\circ$ , then the mapping  $f_t$  defined on  $E'_M$  by  $f_t(a) = a(t)$  satisfies  $\sum_n |\alpha_n f_t(a_n)| \leq \|\varphi\| \|f_t\|$ , that is  $\sum_n |\alpha_n a_n(t)| \leq \|\varphi\|$ . So,  $S$  is bounded in  $\Lambda^*$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *For every nuclear locally convex space  $E$  and a perfect sequence space  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda\{E\} = \Lambda(E) = \Lambda[E]$ , algebraically and topologically and  $\Lambda\{E\} = \Lambda\langle E \rangle$ , algebraically. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{S}$  is the family of all bounded sets of  $\Lambda^*$ , then this equality is topological.*

**Proof:** 1. Let us prove the equality  $\Lambda(E) = \Lambda\{E\}$ . By Proposition 2.5, we only have to show that  $\Lambda(E) \subset \Lambda\{E\}$  with continuous injection. Since  $E$  is nuclear then, by 21.2.1 of [5], we have

$$\ell_1\{E\} = \ell_1(E) = \ell_1[E]. \quad (1)$$

Let  $x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda(E)$ . For all  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in \Lambda^*$ ,  $(\alpha_n x_n)_n \in \ell_1(E) = \ell_1\{E\}$ . Whereby,  $x \in \Lambda\{E\}$  and  $\Lambda\{E\} = \Lambda(E)$  algebraically. Now, let  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ . By (1), there exists  $K > 0$  such that for all  $x = (x_n)_n \in \ell_1(E)$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_M(x_n) \leq K P_M\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n\right).$$

If  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in S$  then,  $(\alpha_n x_n)_n \in \ell_1(E)$ , whereby

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| P_M(x_n) \leq K P_M\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x_n\right).$$

We then have, for all  $x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda(E)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{S,M}(x) &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| P_M(x_n), \alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in S \right\} \\ &\leq K \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n a(x_n)|, \alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in S, a \in M \right\} \\ &= K \varepsilon_{S,M}(x). \end{aligned}$$

2. To prove the equality  $\Lambda\langle E \rangle = \Lambda\{E\}$ , it is enough, by Proposition 2.4, to show that  $\Lambda\{E\} \subset \Lambda\langle E \rangle$  with continuous injection. Let  $x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda\{E\}$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $a = (a_n)_n \in \Lambda^*[E'_M]$ . Since  $E$  is nuclear there exists  $N \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $N \supset M$  and the canonical injection from  $E'_M$  to  $E'_N$  is nuclear. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_i \in \ell_1$  with  $\|\lambda\|_{\ell_1} \leq 1$ , an equicontinuous sequence  $(f_i)_i$  in the topological dual of  $E'_M$  and a bounded sequence  $(x'_i)_i \subset E'_N$  such that

$$\forall x' \in E'_M, x' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i(x') x'_i.$$

We may and do assume that  $(x'_i)_i \subset N$  and that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| \|f_i\| = 1$ . We have

$$a_n(x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i(a_n) x'_i(x_n), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Whereby, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^k a_n(x_n) = \sum_{n=1}^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i(a_n) x'_i(x_n) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i \left( \sum_{n=1}^k x'_i(x_n) a_n \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^k a_n(x_n) \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i \left( \sum_{n=1}^k x'_i(x_n) a_n \right) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| \left| f_i \left( \sum_{n=1}^k a_n x'_i(x_n) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| \|f_i\| \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k a_n x'_i(x_n) \right\|_M. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k a_n x'_i(x_n) \right\|_M &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{n=1}^k x'_i(x_n) a_n(t) \right|, t \in M^\circ \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^k |x'_i(x_n) a_n(t)|, t \in M^\circ \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^k P_M(x_n) |a_n(t)|, t \in M^\circ \right\} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{S^\circ, M^\circ}((a_n)_n), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , such that  $(P_M(x_n))_n \in S^\circ$ .

So,

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^k a_n(x_n) \right| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| \|f_i\| \right) \varepsilon_{S^\circ, M^\circ}((a_n)_n).$$

If  $(\varepsilon_n)_n$  is such that  $|a_n(x_n)| = \varepsilon_n a_n(x_n)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^k |a_n(x_n)| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| \|f_i\| \right) \varepsilon_{S^\circ, M^\circ}((\varepsilon_n a_n)_n) = \varepsilon_{S^\circ, M^\circ}((a_n)_n).$$

This shows that the series  $\sum |a_n(x_n)|$  is convergent and that  $(x_n)_n \in \Lambda \langle E \rangle$ .

We also have,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(x_n)| \leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_M(x_n) |a_n(t)|, t \in M^\circ \right\}.$$

Let  $S_1 = \{(a_n(t))_n, t \in M^\circ\}$ . By lemma 3.1,  $S_1$  is bounded in  $\Lambda^*$ . If we choose  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $S_1 \subset S$ , we will obtain  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(x_n)| \leq \pi_{S, M}(x)$ .

So,  $\sigma_{S, M}(x) \leq \pi_{S, M}(x), \forall x = (x_n)_n \in \Lambda \langle E \rangle$ .

3. If  $E$  is nuclear then  $\Lambda(E) = \Lambda[E]$  algebraically and topologically by (1) and the very definition of the topology of these spaces.  $\square$ .

We now give the main result:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $E$  be a complete locally convex space and  $\Lambda$  be a perfect sequence space. Then  $\Lambda(E)$  is nuclear if, and only if,  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  are nuclear.*

**Proof:** If  $\Lambda(E)$  is nuclear, so are  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  by proposition 2.2. Inversely, suppose  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  are nuclear. Since  $E$  is nuclear, every weakly convergent sequence in  $E$  is convergent to the same limit, by 3.2.6(a) of [14]. Now, an application of ([3], Teorema) gives the equality

$$\Lambda(E) = \Lambda(E)_r.$$

But  $\Lambda(E)_r = \Lambda \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ , by ([3], Proposición 2 ), so

$$\Lambda(E)_r = \Lambda \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon E = \Lambda \tilde{\otimes}_\pi E,$$

which is nuclear by 4.6.4 of [14]. □

**Acknowledgements :** This work was realized while the author was visiting Rabat. He would like to thank l'AGENCE UNIVERSITAIRE DE LA FRANCOPHONIE for the financial support. Thanks are also given to Professor Pedro J. Paúl (Seville) for good guidance and help.

## References

- [1] H. Apiola: *Duality between spaces of  $p$ -summing operators and characterization of nuclearity.* Math. Ann. **219**, (1974), 53-64.
- [2] J. S. Cohen, *Absolutely  $p$ -summing,  $p$ -nuclear operators and their conjugates.* Math. Ann., **201** (1973), 177-200.
- [3] M. Florencio, Pedro J. Paúl: *Una representación de ciertos  $\varepsilon$ -productos tensoriales.* Actas de las Jornadas Matematicas Hispano Lusas. Murcia (1985),191-203.
- [4] M. Florencio, P. J. Paúl: *La propiedad AK en ciertos espacios de sucesiones vectoriales.* Proc. Eleventh Spanish-Portuguese Conference on Mathematics. **1**, 197-203, Dep. Mat. Univ. Extremadura, (**18**), (1987).
- [5] H. Jarchow: *Locally Convex Spaces.* B. G. Teuber stuttgart (1981).
- [6] G. Köthe: *Topological Vector Spaces I and II.* Springer-Verlag, (1979), Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [7] L. Oubbi, M. A. Ould Sidaty: *Dual space of certain locally convex spaces.* Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias de Zaragoza. **59**:79-88, (2004).
- [8] L. Oubbi, M. A. Ould Sidaty: *Reflexivity of spaces of weakly summable sequences.* Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat. Vol. 101 (1), 2007, pp. 5162. (2007).



- [9] M. A. Ould Sidaty : *Reflexivity and AK-property of certain vector sequence spaces*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., **10** (2003), 579-583.
- [10] E. Pietsch: *Nuclear locally convex spaces*. Springer-Verlag, (1972), Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [11] E. Pietsch: *Verallgemeinerte Vollkommene Folgenräume*. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin (1962), Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [12] R. C. Rosier: *Dual space of certain vector sequence spaces*. Pacific J. Math. 46, 487-501, (1973).
- [13] M. Valdivia Ureña: *Topics on locally convex spaces*. Amsterdam-New York-Oxford (1982).
- [14] Yau-Chuen Wong: *Schwartz Spaces, Nuclear Spaces and Tensor Products*. Lectures Notes 726. Springer-Verlag, (1979), Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.

