

Which spheres admit a topological group structure?

Ignacio Santa-María Megía

Depto. de Geometría y Topología. Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas.

Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Abstract

It is well known since the 1940's that \mathbb{S}^0 , \mathbb{S}^1 and \mathbb{S}^3 are the only spheres admitting a topological group structure. In this short note we provide an easy and direct proof (without using Lie group theory nor dimension theory) of the fact that \mathbb{S}^{2n} does not admit such a structure for any $n > 0$. The proof is based upon the notion of group actions on a topological space; loosely speaking what makes possible this argument is that there are more self-homeomorphisms of a topological group than of an even sphere.

2000 MS Classification: 22-02.

Key Words: Topological group, sphere.

1 Introduction

The proof of the fact that the only Euclidean spheres that can be made into topological groups are \mathbb{S}^0 , \mathbb{S}^1 and \mathbb{S}^3 is given in [7, p. 144], it refers to a result from Cartan [2, p. 179] and uses Lie group theory and dimension theory for the proof.

Other proofs found in the literature use the fact that the only H-spaces among \mathbb{S}^n , $n \geq 0$, are those given by $n = 0, 1, 3, 7$ (see [1, Theorem 1.1.1]). Since a topological group must be an H-space, the only ones to test are for those values of n . Moreover, in [4, Theorem 1] it can be found a direct proof of the fact that \mathbb{S}^7 is not a Lie group and therefore not a topological group, because “*all locally euclidean groups are isomorphic to Lie groups*” [5, p. 213].

None of these proofs are elementary. The goal of this note is to present a more simple proof of the fact that no even dimensional sphere admits a topological group structure.

In order to make the paper self-contained we define the tools required for its development.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we provide the definition, some examples and some properties of topological groups. For a deeper comprehension of the subject read [6].

Definition 1. *Let G be a group (with multiplicative notation) and a topological space at the same time. Then G is said to be a topological group if the group operation and the inverse operation are continuous mappings:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \rightarrow & G & \text{inv} : G & \rightarrow & G \\ (f, g) & \mapsto & f \cdot g & g & \mapsto & g^{-1} \end{array}.$$

Example 1. *We now give the topological group structure for \mathbb{S}^n , $n \in \{0, 1, 3\}$.*

($n = 0$) *As a topological space it is the discrete space $\{-1, 1\}$ and if it is given a group structure we get \mathbb{Z}_2 , so $\mathbb{S}^0 \approx \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

($n = 1$) *Let us consider \mathbb{S}^1 as the unitary complex numbers, endowed with the complex product and with the topology induced from the usual one of \mathbb{C} . Clearly, \mathbb{S}^1 is a topological group and it is usually denoted by \mathbb{T} .*

($n = 3$) *We manage to see \mathbb{S}^3 in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ by means of the following product:*

Let us represent each element (z, w) of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ by a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

and define the product of two elements as the matrix multiplication.

This representation permits us to write the Euclidean norm of such a (z, w) as

$$\|(z, w)\| = \sqrt[2]{\det(z, w)}.$$

When $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ is seen in this fashion it is called the quaternionic space and denoted by \mathbb{H} . Now it is clear that \mathbb{S}^3 is the set of unitary quaternions and that this product operation makes it a topological group since, as a complex function, it is continuous and the inversion map (which is the matrix inversion): $(z, w)^{-1} = (\bar{z}, -w)$ is also a continuous complex function in \mathbb{S}^3 .

Proposition 1. *Let G be a topological group. Then for each $a \in G$ the left and right translations, given by a , are homeomorphisms. Furthermore, the inversion map is also an homeomorphism.*

Proof. Let $a \in G$ and $L_a : G \rightarrow G$ (with $L_a(g) = a \cdot g$) be the left translation given by a . Then L_a is a composition of continuous functions and its inverse function is $L_{a^{-1}}$. Hence L_a is a homeomorphism (it follows analogously for the right translation $R_a : G \rightarrow G$, $R_a(g) = g \cdot a$).

To show that the inversion map is a homeomorphism, just notice that it is its own inverse (it is continuous by hypothesis). \square

Next, we define homogeneity in the context of topological spaces and we show that every topological group is homogeneous.

Definition 2. *A topological space X is said to be homogeneous if for any $x, y \in X$, there exists a homeomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ such that $f(x) = y$.*

Observation 1. *Every topological group is homogeneous. This follows by proposition 1, since given $a, b \in G$ we can define the homeomorphism $f = L_{a^{-1}} \circ R_b$, which verifies $f(a) = b$.*

But not every homogeneous topological space can be made into a topological group, the Sorgenfrey line is a counterexample.

Example 2. *The Sorgenfrey line is a homogeneous topological space but not a topological group.*

It is homogeneous: given a, b in the Sorgenfrey line, the map $f(x) = x + b - a$ sends a to b and is an homeomorphism since it is continuous, and its inverse, $f^{-1}(y) = y - b + a$, is also continuous.

It is not a topological group with the addition of real numbers because the inverse operation fails to be continuous.

Furthermore, it does not admit a topological group structure at all: every first countable topological group is metrizable but the Sorgenfrey line is first countable and not metrizable. Therefore, there is no group operation in \mathbb{R} that could turn the Sorgenfrey line into a topological group.

The two dimensional sphere is another example of an homogeneous topological space (think of rotations) but not a topological group, as we shall see.

3 \mathbb{S}^{2n} is not a topological group.

First of all, we recall some notions about group actions on topological spaces and the concept of degree of a continuous map from a topological space to itself. Let us also fix some notation: X is a topological space, G a group and $\text{Homeo}(X)$ the group of self-homeomorphisms of X .

Definition 3. We say that G acts on X if there exists an homomorphism $\Theta : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$. For each $g \in G$ we write Θ_g instead of $\Theta(g)$.

If, in addition, $\Theta_g : X \rightarrow X$ has no fixed points for any $g \in G$ but for the identity (i.e., $\Theta_g(x) \neq x, \forall x \in X$ and $\forall g \in G \setminus \{e\}$), then the action is said to be free (or that G acts freely on X).

Observation 2. Every topological group acts freely on itself. Indeed, since translations are homeomorphisms we can define the map $L : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(G)$ such that $g \mapsto L_g$, which is an homomorphism. Moreover, L_g is a translation, so if $g \neq e$ then L_g has no fixed points.

The following definition, properties and proposition can be found in [3, pp. 134-135]. They are the tools we use to reach our goal. First, let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $f : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be a continuous map. Now consider the induced endomorphism of the n^{th} -homology group of the n -sphere, $f_* : H_n(\mathbb{S}^n) \rightarrow H_n(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Since $H_n(\mathbb{S}^n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique integer d such that $f_*(\alpha) = d\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in H_n(\mathbb{S}^n)$.

Definition 4. We define the degree of f as $\deg(f) = d$.

Some properties of the degree that we shall use further on are:

- (i) $\deg(\text{id}) = 1$ where $\text{id} : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is the identity map;
- (ii) if $f, g : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ are continuous maps, then $\deg(f \circ g) = \deg(f) \cdot \deg(g)$;
- (iii) let f and g be as above. Then, they are homotopic if and only if $\deg(f) = \deg(g)$;
- (iv) if f is the reflection of \mathbb{S}^n that interchanges the hemispheres determined by a fixed equator, then $\deg(f) = -1$;
- (v) let id^- be the antipodal map, then $\deg(\text{id}^-) = (-1)^{n+1}$;
- (vi) if $f : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is a continuous map without fixed points, then $\deg(f) = (-1)^{n+1}$.

Hence from (iii), (v) and (vi) we get that every continuous map from the n -sphere in itself without fixed points is homotopic to the antipodal map. The converse statement is false, for if n is odd then (i), (iii) and (v) imply that the antipodal map is homotopic to the identity; and if n is even then (iv), (v) and (vi) imply that a reflection as in (iv) is homotopic to the antipodal map.

As a direct consequence of the definition of degree and its properties we get the next result [3, Proposition 2.29].

Proposition 2. \mathbb{Z}_2 is the only nontrivial group that can act freely on \mathbb{S}^n if n is even.

Proof. Let n be even and let G act freely on \mathbb{S}^n . Then there exists a homomorphism $\Theta : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Hence for each $g \in G$ we can compute the degree $\deg(\Theta_g)$. Furthermore, since Θ is an homomorphism, from **(i)** and **(ii)** we have the following equalities:

$$\deg(\Theta_g) \cdot \deg(\Theta_{g^{-1}}) = \deg(\Theta_g \circ \Theta_{g^{-1}}) = \deg(\Theta_e) = \deg(\text{id}) = 1.$$

So $\deg(\Theta_g) = \deg(\Theta_{g^{-1}}) = \pm 1$, because the degree must be an integer.

We can now construct a degree function $d : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ as $d(g) = \deg(\Theta_g)$, which is an isomorphism. Indeed, d is an homomorphism by **(ii)** and because G acts freely on S^n we know that Θ_g has no fixed points for any $g \neq e$. So **(vi)** implies $\deg(\Theta_g) = -1$ and from **(i)** we have $\deg(\Theta_e) = 1$. Hence d is onto and has trivial kernel. \square

Now it is clear that \mathbb{S}^{2n} is not a topological group for any $n > 0$. Otherwise it would act freely on itself and by proposition 2 it would be isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 , which is clearly a contradiction.

This statement can be set in a naive way: “If an even-dimensional sphere were a topological group, it would be isomorphic to the zero-dimensional one”.

Acknowledgments. I wish to thank Dr. Elena Martín Peinador for her valuable advice and guidance.

References

- [1] Adams, J.F., *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. Math., 2nd Ser., **72** No. 1, 20-104. (JSTOR).
- [2] Cartan, E., *La topologie des espaces représentatives des groupes de Lie*, L'Enseignement Math., **35**, 177-200.
- [3] Hatcher, A., *Algebraic Topology*, (Cambridge University Press). Also in the webpage <http://www.math.cornell.edu/hatcher/AT/AT.pdf>.
- [4] Jian, Z. and Senlin, X., *\mathbb{S}^7 without any construction of Lie group*, International Center for Theoretical Physics, unpublished preprint found at <http://streaming.ictp.trieste.it/preprints/P/88/404.pdf>.
- [5] Montgomery, D. and Zippin, L. *Small subgroups of finite-dimensional groups*, Ann. Math., 2nd Ser., **56**, No. 2, 213-241. (JSTOR).
- [6] Morris, Sidney A., *Pontryagin duality and the structure of locally compact Abelian groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, **29**, (Cambridge University Press).
- [7] Samelson, H., *Über die Sphären, die als Gruppenräume auftreten*, Comm. Math. Helvetici, **13** 145-155. Accessible from the website <http://retro.seals.ch/digbib/home>.

