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#### Abstract

We consider a Hamiltonian system with two degrees of freedom depending on a parameter, having an equilibrium point, $p$, of center $\times$ saddle type. We assume there is a homoclinic orbit to $p$. We explore the phenomenon of cascade of $n$-round homoclinic orbits when varying the parameter. Explicit computations are shown for the collinear equilibrium point $L_{3}$ of the planar restricted three-body problem.
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## 1 Preliminaries and setting of the problem

In this work, we consider the existence of homoclinic orbits to a center $\times$ saddle equilibrium point of a given Hamiltonian system. We recall that homoclinic and heteroclinic connections of hyperbolic objects (equilibrium points being the simplest ones) play an important role when studying a dynamical system from a global point of view. In particular they are relevant in applications to Celestial Mechanics and Astrodynamics, more particularly in the design of libration point missions (see [4], [5], [7], [14] and references therein).

The general setting considered from now on is the following: we assume that we have a real analytic Hamiltonian $H(x ; \mu)$ of two degrees of freedom, depending on a parameter $\mu$, such that for all values of the parameter the origin is an equilibrium point of center $\times$ saddle type, i.e., the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of the Hamiltonian vector field, $X_{H}$, at the origin are $\pm i \omega, \pm \lambda$ with $\omega \lambda \neq 0, \omega, \lambda \in R$. Let us denote by $H_{0}=H(0)$ and let us consider the one-dimensional manifolds, stable $W^{s}$ and unstable
$W^{u}$, and the corresponding branches $W_{+}^{s}, W_{-}^{s}, W_{+}^{u}, W_{-}^{u}$, associated with the origin. Due to the Lyapunov theorem (see [12]), we know that for each value of $H$ close to $H_{0}$, there is an unstable periodic orbit. When varying $H$, we obtain the so called Lyapunov family of periodic orbits associated with the equilibrium point.

Let us assume now that, for a particular value of the parameter, say $\mu_{1}$, one branch of $W^{s}$ coincides with one branch of $W^{u}$, giving rise to a homoclinic orbit, $\Gamma$, to the origin. Two natural questions appear in this context:

1. How is the dynamics close to the homoclinic orbit $\Gamma$ ?
2. What happens to the homoclinic orbit when we consider values of the parameter in a neighborhood of $\mu_{1}$ ?

Several authors have studied question 1. Maybe the first one was Conley ([2]) in the context of the planar RTBP taking the mass parameter as a natural parameter. We also mention the paper by Llibre et al. (see [11]) where they consider the same problem and prove the existence of homoclinic orbits to the collinear equilibrium point $L_{2}$ as well as the transversal intersection of the stable and unstable manifolds of the Lyapunov periodic orbits.

In a general analytic Hamiltonian, in the paper by Koltsova and Lerman [8], the authors prove, under generic conditions, two important results for $\mu=\mu_{1}$ :

- the existence of countable families of periodic orbits accumulating to the homoclinic orbit and lying on the same energy level as the center $\times$ saddle, and
- the existence of homoclinic orbits to each hyperbolic Lyapunov periodic orbit.

In the 3 degree-of-freedom Hamiltonian case, given an equilibrium point of center $\times$ center $\times$ saddle type, we can regard a homoclinic orbit to the equilibrium point not only as the skeleton of homoclinic orbits to periodic orbits closeby, but also of 2d-invariant tori (see [10]).

In order to answer the second question, we must introduce $n$-round homoclinic orbits. We define a homoclinic orbit to the origin to be $n$-round if it enters and also leaves some small neighborhood of the origin $n$ times; in each path outside this neighborhood, it more or less follows the homoclinic orbit $\Gamma$ (see [6]). In this context, we consider the set of values of the parameter $\mu$ in a neighborhood of $\mu_{1}$, and we define the set

$$
\Lambda_{n}=\{\mu>0 / \text { there exists an } n \text {-round homoclinic orbit to the origin }\} .
$$

The most complete investigation of $n$-round homoclinic orbits to a center $\times$ saddle in a one-parameter unfolding of reversible two-degree-of-freedom Hamiltonian systems was accomplished in [6] and [13]. An alternative proof for 2-round and 3-round homoclinic
orbits was carried out in [8], and revisited in [9] for $n$-round homoclinic orbits, for $n=2,3$, and $n=m 2^{k}, m=2,3$ and $k \in N$. Roughly speaking, the main result may be stated as follows: given $\mu_{1} \in \Lambda_{1}$, there exist values of $\mu \in \Lambda_{n}$, close enough to $\mu_{1}$, for all $n>1$ (see [13]).

The purpose of this work is to show numerical evidence of this result in the context of the restricted three-body problem. For the details of the computations done, the reader is referred to [1].

## 2 Example: the planar RTBP. Homoclinic orbits to $L_{3}$.

Now we consider the planar circular RTBP, whose well known Hamiltonian function, depending on the mass parameter $\mu \in(0,1 / 2]$, is

$$
H\left(x, y, p_{x}, p_{y}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}\right)-x p_{y}+y p_{x}-\frac{1-\mu}{r_{1}}-\frac{\mu}{r_{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \mu(1-\mu)
$$

with $r_{1}=\sqrt{(x-\mu)^{2}+y^{2}}$ and $r_{2}=\sqrt{(x-\mu+1)^{2}+y^{2}}$. We may also consider the equations of motion in the rotating (non canonical) coordinates $x, y, x^{\prime}=p_{x}+y, y^{\prime}=p_{y}-x$ (see [15])

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{\prime} & =D_{x} \Omega(x, y) \\
y^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{\prime} & =D_{y} \Omega(x, y), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\Omega(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\frac{1-\mu}{r_{1}}+\frac{\mu}{r_{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \mu(1-\mu) .
$$

The system of equations (1) has a first integral, called the Jacobi integral, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=2 \Omega(x, y)-x^{\prime 2}-y^{\prime 2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $C$ value is related to $H$ by $C=-2 H+\mu(1-\mu)$ Furthermore, we recall that equations (1) satisfy the well known symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t, x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow\left(-t, x,-y,-x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that, for each solution of equations (1), there also exists another one, which is seen as symmetric with respect to $y=0$ in configuration space.

We also recall that the RTBP has five equilibrium points: the collinear points, $L_{1}$, $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$, situated on the line containing the primaries, and the equilateral ones, $L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$, both forming equilateral triangles with the two primaries. We will consider that $x_{L_{2}} \leq \mu-1 \leq x_{L_{1}} \leq \mu \leq x_{L_{3}}$, that is, $L_{1}$ is between both primaries, $L_{2}$ is on the left hand side of the small one and $L_{3}$ is on the right hand side of the large one.

We will concentrate on the collinear equilibrium point $L_{3}$. It is well known that, if we write the differential equations (1) as

$$
\mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{X}(\mathrm{x})
$$

then Spec $D X\left(L_{i}\right)=\{ \pm i \omega, \pm \lambda\}$, so the equilibrium point $L_{i}, i=1,2,3$ is a center $\times$ saddle point. In this case $W_{+}^{u}, W_{-}^{u}$ are the two branches of the unstable manifold of $L_{3}$, whose $(x, y)$ projection lies (when $t \rightarrow-\infty$ ) on the $y>0$ and $y<0$ region respectively and, similarly, $W_{+}^{s}$ and $W_{-}^{s}$. If, for a given value of $\mu$, the unstable and stable manifolds intersect, they give rise to a homoclinic connection to $L_{3}$.

So, our setting now is the RTBP with one parameter, $\mu$, and $L_{3}$ (instead of the origin in the previous Section) being the associated equilibrium point for a given $\mu \in(0, \mu / 2]$. We say that a value $\mu$ belongs to $\Lambda_{n}$ if for that $\mu$ there exists an $n$-round symmetric homoclinic orbit (SHO from now on) to $L_{3}$.

Our aim in this Section is, on the one hand, to numerically illustrate the existence of values of $\mu \in \Lambda_{1}$; and, on the other hand, given a fixed value of $\mu=\mu_{1} \in \Lambda_{1}$, to display sequences of values in $\Lambda_{n}$ tending to $\mu_{1}$, for any $n>1$. Of course we cannot explore all the values of $n$; we will only take the cases $n=2,3,4$.

An easy strategy to detect SHO is simply the following: we consider $\Sigma=\{y=0\}$ as surface of section and, for a given $\mu$, denote by $x_{j}^{\prime}(\mu)$ the $x^{\prime}$ coordinate of the $j$-th intersection of a branch of a manifold of $L_{3}$ (we will take from now on $W_{-}^{u}$ ) with $\Sigma$. If this $j$-th cut is orthogonal, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}^{\prime}(\mu)=0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the application of symmetry (3) to a trajectory following $W_{-}^{u}$ up to its $j$-th cut with $y=0$ forward in time will give rise to a symmetric trajectory following $W_{+}^{s}$ backward in time and therefore becoming a SHO.

Let us start analyzing the set $\Lambda_{1}$. We vary the $\mu$ parameter and we consider the function $x_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)$ given in Fig. 1 left. Its behavior provides numerical evidence of the existence of a decreasing sequence of values of $\mu_{n}^{1} \in \Lambda_{1}$, with $\mu_{1}^{1}<0.01$ and $\mu_{n}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see [3] for an expression of such values). For any given value of $\mu_{n}^{1}$, the corresponding ( $x, y$ ) projection of the SHO typically surrounds once $L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$ describing a horseshoe-shaped orbit. See Fig. 1 right.

We plot the functions $x_{2}^{\prime}(\mu)$ in Fig. 2. We can see that there are sequences of values in $\Lambda_{2}$ tending (on each side) to each value in $\Lambda_{1}$, and therefore providing the values of $\mu$ for 2-round SHO.

In a similar way, we plot in Fig. 3 the functions $x_{3}^{\prime}(\mu)$ and $x_{4}^{\prime}(\mu)$ in the neighborhood of a fixed value of $\mu \in \Lambda_{1}$, denoted by $\mu_{1}$. We see again the existence of sequences of values of $\mu \in \Lambda_{3}$ and $\Lambda_{4}$ tending to $\mu_{1}$.

As a final remark, we note that the jumps observed in the curves $x_{j}^{\prime}(\mu), j=1,2,3,4$, in the different figures can also be analysed graphically in detail. This has been done in [1].


Figure 1.- Left. Function $x_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)$. Right. Homoclinic invariant manifold $-(x, y)$ projection- for $\mu=0.0037257851523$.


Figure 2.- Functions $x_{1}^{\prime}(\mu)$ (in red) and $x_{2}^{\prime}(\mu)$ (blue).


Figure 3.- Left: functions $x_{k}^{\prime}(\mu)$, for $k=1$ (in red), $k=2$ (in blue), $k=3$ (in magenta). Right. Functions $x_{2}^{\prime}(\mu)$ and $x_{4}^{\prime}(\mu)$. For display purposes, the function $x_{4}^{\prime}$ has been rescaled using the $\operatorname{arcsinh}(x)$ function, and the $y$ axis has been labeled accordingly.

## Acknowledgments

E. Barrabés and J.M. Mondelo are partially supported by the MCyT/FEDER grant MTM2006-05849/Consolider. J.M. Mondelo is also supported by the MCyT/FEDER grant MTM2008-01486 M. Ollé is partially supported by MICINN-FEDER grant MTM200906973 and CUR-DIUE grant 2009SGR859.

## References

[1] E. Barrabés, J. M. Mondelo, and M. Ollé. Dynamical aspects of multi-round horseshoeshaped homoclinic orbits in the RTBP. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astr., 105:197-210, 2009.
[2] C. Conley. Low energy transit orbits in the restricted three-body problem. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 16:732-746, 1968.
[3] J. Font. The role of homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits in two-degrees of freedom Hamiltonian systems. Ph. D. Thesis, Barcelona University, 1999.
[4] G. Gómez, J. J. Masdemont, and J. M. Mondelo. Libration Point Orbits: A survey from tye dynamical point of view. In G. Gómez, M. W. Lo, and J. J. Masdemont (Eds.), Libration Point Orbits and Applications. World Scientific, 2003.
[5] G. Gómez, W. S. Koon, M. W. Lo, J. E. Marsden, J. Masdemont, S.D. Ross. Connecting orbits and invariant manifolds in the spatial restricted three-body problem. Nonlinearity, 17:1571-1606, 2004.
[6] C. Grotta Ragazzo. Irregular dynamics and homoclinic orbits to Hamiltonian saddle centers. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 50(2), 105-147, 1997.
[7] K. C. Howell, B. T. Barden, R. S. Wilson, and M. W. Lo. Trajectory design using a dynamical systems approach with application to GENESIS. Advances in the Astronautical Sciences 97, 1665-1684, 1998.
[8] O. Y. Koltsova and L. M. Lerman. Periodic and homoclinic orbits in a two-parameter unfolding of a hamiltonian system with a homoclinic orbit to a saddle-center. Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos 5(2), 397-408, 1995.
[9] O. Y. Koltsova. Families of multi-round homoclinic and periodic orbits near a saddle-center equilibrium. Regul. Chaotic Dyn. 8(2), 191-200, 2003.
[10] O. Y. Koltsova, L. Lerman, A. Delshams, and P. Gutiérrez. Homoclinic orbits to invariant tori near a homoclinic orbit to center-center-saddle equilibrium. Phys. D 201(3-4), 268-290, 2005.
[11] J. Llibre, R. Martínez, and C. Simó. Transversality of the invariant manifolds associated to the Lyapunov family of periodic orbits near $L_{2}$ in the restricted three-body problem. $J$. Differential Equations 58(1), 104-156, 1985.
[12] K. Meyer, G. Hall. Introduction to Hamiltonian Dynamical systems and the N-Body Problem, Ed Springer-Verlag, 1991.
[13] A. Mielke, P. Holmes, and O. O'Reilly. Cascades of homoclinic orbits to, and chaos near, a Hamiltonian saddle-center. J. Dynam. Differential Equations 4(1), 95-126, 1992.
[14] J. S. Parker and M. W. Lo. Shoot the moon 3D. Advances in the Astronautical Sciences 123, 2067-2086, 2006.
[15] V. Szebehely. Theory of orbits. Academic Press, 1967.

